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UNITED WORKER'S PARTY
AN APOLOGY.
In the first issue of Council Correspondence we announced that this issue would be devoted to the "Thesis on Bolshevism", a series of ten articles prepared jointly by the Group of International Communists of Holland. We are sorry to say that difficulties encountered in the translation of the thesis forces us to postpone this material to the next issue, number three - available Dec. 1, 1934.

AN EXPLANATION.
In March 1934, the United Workers' Party published the pamphlet "World-Wide Fascism or World Revolution?" - the Manifesto and Program of the Party. This Manifesto made a very general and brief analysis of the most important questions with no attempt to take up any subject in detail. It was hoped that subsequent booklets would treat each subject more fully, and cover other subjects not possible in the first pamphlet. The pamphlet "Bolshevism or Communism?" followed soon after, and took up the question of the role of the Party and the leaders in the revolutionary movement. The feature article in Council Correspondence #1, "What is Communism?" helps to explain our attitude to the USSR. The main article in this issue elaborates the economic analysis in the Manifesto. A popular pamphlet now gone to press entitled, "What Next For The American Worker" will also deal with questions not given much space in the first booklet. Future issues of this paper, as well as the contemplated monthly journal "Living Marxism", to be published by the United Workers' Party in collaboration with the European group, will take up in detail for discussion all the questions and problems of vital import to the Revolutionary Proletariat.

THE PERMANENT CRISIS

Henryk Grossmann's Interpretation of Marx's Theory of Capitalist Accumulation

I.
According to Marx, the development of the productive forces of society in the motive power of historical development. In acquiring new productive forces men change their mode of production, and in changing their mode of production, their manner of gaining a living, they change all their social relations. The transformation of the speaking where the hand-loom and blacksmiths' forge, into the self-tending mill, the power-loom and the steam hammer was not only accompanied by a change of the small, individual shape of the craftsmen into huge industrial plants employing thousands of workers, but there also came with it the social overturn from feudalism to capitalism; that is, not merely a material revolution, but a cultural revolution as well.

Capitalism as an economic system had the historical mission of developing the productive forces of society to a much greater extent than was possible under any previous system. The motive force in the development of the productive forces in capitalism is the race for profit. But for that very reason this process of development can continue only as long as it is profitable. From this point of view, capital becomes a barrier to the continuous development of the productive forces as soon as that development comes into conflict with the necessity for profit. Then the monopoly of capital becomes a fetter upon the mode of production which has sprung up and flourished along with, and under it, Centralization of the means of production and socialization of labor at last reach a point where they become incompatible with their capitalist integument.

Marx always considers the economic laws of motion from two points of view: first, as "laws of natural history"; second, in its specific, social form. The development of productive forces went on in every social system, a process consisting of an ever increasing productivity of labor due to better working tools and methods. The productive process under capitalism, in addition to producing the necessities of life, also produces value and surplus value, and it is only due to this fact that capitalism has been able to acceler-
ate the development of the productive forces so tremendously. They are not only machines, raw material and labor-power, but also capital. The development of the instruments of production means the expanding of production and reproduction of capital, and this is only possible than surplus value or profit is the result of the productive process of capital. By analysis of the process of producing surplus value, Marx finds the tendency of a conflict between the material productive forces and their capitalist integument. When increased surplus value results from production, if capital cannot be "utilized", there is no possibility of continuing the development of the productive forces. The capitalistic forms must burst asunder to make place for a higher, more advanced, economic and social system.

In the capitalist system wage labor is necessary for the production of surplus value. In buying labor power, the capitalist acquires the right to use it for his own benefit. By his labor, the worker is able to produce a greater value than he consumes, i.e., he produces more value than the capitalist pays him in form of wages. Since the capitalist buys labor-power at its exchange value, and has full control of the use value, the result is the creation of surplus value out of which he takes a part for additional capital, for accumulation, pays interest to the banker and rent to the landlord, allows the merchant his commercial profit, and retains the rest for his own consumption.

All commodities have in common the quality of being products of labor; they are measured and exchanged in proportion to the socially necessary labor time incorporated in them; this includes also the commodity's exchange value. The development of the productive forces means increased productivity of labor, and increased productivity means less labor incorporated in each commodity, or less value, and consequently less surplus value. This decrease in the value of one single commodity can only be compensated by the increase of the quantities of commodities produced, which means an increase in the exploitation of labor. This is done by two main methods: by lengthening the working day ("absolute surplus value"), or by shortening the labor time necessary for reproducing the wages of the workers ("relative surplus value"). If the lengthening of the working day is impossible, then there only remains the shortening of the necessary labor time which can only be done by decreasing the value of labor power. The decrease in the value of commodities is the only means of reducing the value of labor power, but this in its turn can only be the result of increased productivity. This process is, at the same time, an accelerator forcing technical development at an ever increasing tempo towards mass production and gigantic and costly machinery, concentrated in huge industrial plants, eliminating individual and small capitalists in favor of big capitalists and corporations.

Since wage labor is the source of his profit, the capitalist should be interested in exploiting as many workers as possible. The more workers, the more surplus labor and value, the more profit. But it is nevertheless a fact that from the very beginning of the capitalist epoch, the rate of profit relative to the capital employed, has been falling. Even if their number has absolutely increased for a period, they have increased more slowly than capital

has accumulated. Today the number of workers employed has fallen not only relatively, but absolutely. (Since 1818 the number of those employed in American industry has continuously decreased though production increased until 1929.) Increased productivity coupled with the process of concentration of capital thus results in a constantly growing value of commodities produced by a very small and fewer workers, increased production, in increased unemployment. This fact, in the face of the capitalist's urgent need for more extensive exploitation, indicates the limits of capitalist production. The more exploitation is intensified, the faster these limits are reached.

The law of value is, according to Marx, the regulator of the production of commodities and determines in what proportion the work of society is distributed, but this only holds good for society as a whole, not for individual capitalist units. In reality the law of value is only enforced through the competition of individual enterprises; actual exchange of commodities does not take place according to value, but according to price of production. If one capitalist sells above value, another capitalist sells below. Competition, which resulted in the establishment of the average rate of profit, also establishes the law of value as the final and general law which underlies the sum total of individual transactions at the prices of production.

Without this, the rate of profit would differ from one branch of production to another according to the rate of capital turnover, and the organic composition of capital. The greater the rate of surplus value, the higher the rate of profit. (The rate of surplus value or exploitation is the surplus value divided by the capital invested in that product.) The quicker the turnover of capital, i.e., the quicker the capital-gains his profit, and sells his products over their value, the higher the rate of profit, and vice versa. The ratio between the rates of production and labor power, expressed in value form as constant and variable capital, we call the organic composition of capital. The higher the organic composition, the lower the rate of profit.

As not only the rate of profit for individual capitals, but also the general rate of profit continuously sinks on account of the rise in the organic composition of capital, small capitals would be destroyed if they were unable to increase their capital sufficiently. The existence of the capitalist depends on a continuous increase of his capital by decreasing production costs below normal. He strives to gain extra profit by producing and selling his products at a price below their individual and below their social value. Each capitalist has of necessity the same desire and so each capitalist must accumulate.
If he stops reinvesting part of his surplus value in his enterprise, he runs the risk of his capital becoming valueless, if its technical form is falling behind the general development of the productive forces. This fact results in again raising the organic composition and lowering the rate of profit, and thereby a new series of development by stimulating the search for extra-profit. To resist would mean economic suicide for the capitalist.

To understand the action of the law of value and accumulation, we must first disregard these individual and external movements, and consider accumulation from the point of view of total capital, since the total social capital values and total prices are identical. The most important factor in this inquiry is the composition of capital and the changes it undergoes in the course of accumulation. In the capitalist mode of production, and in that alone, is the development of the productive power not only expressed as a growth of means of production in order to have more results with less labor (as it is expressed in all economic systems) but as a rise in the organic composition of capital, more constant capital, less variable capital and a consequent falling rate of profit. A fall in the rate of profit and a hastening of accumulation are inseparable only different expressions of the same process, both of them indicate the development of the productive power. Accumulation in its turn hastens the fall of the rate of profit, inasmuch as it implies the concentration of labor on a large scale and thereby a higher composition of capital.

The fall in the rate of profit is accompanied by an increase in the mass of profit as long as capital accumulates faster than the value of labor. The falling of the rate of profit and the growth of the mass of profit are therefore both caused by capitalist accumulation. When the accumulation process has reached a certain point, capital of a smaller size will fall not only relatively to the total capital invested, but also absolutely; a larger social capital will bring an absolutely smaller profit. But this point only appears at the end of a certain period, it is the point to understand this tendency to a progressive fall of the rate of profit, and on the other hand in a progressive increase of the absolute mass of the appropriated surplus value, or profit, as that in the whole, a relative decrease of variable capital and profit is accompanied by an absolute increase of both. This is the characteristic expression of the progressive development of the productive power of labor under the capitalist mode of production.

II. Accumulation and Crisis.

The fall in the rate of profit has thrown bourgeois economy out of balance. For Marx the falling rate of profit is not an act of production but the organic composition of capital and the rate of exploitation. Marx introduced the concept of organic composition of capital and the rate of exploitation as the rate of profit will be 5%. With an organic composition of capital and 30 variable capital, and a rate of exploitation of 100%, the rate of profit will be 5%. With an organic composition of capital and 50 variable capital, and the same rate of exploitation, the rate of profit will be 16.6%. (As stated before, the rate of surplus value is determined by the proportion between the needs of the surplus labor and the surplus labor time. But the rate of profit is determined by the surplus value divided by the total capital, i.e., constant and variable capital.) In a given economy, both constant and variable capital is increased. Not only is the scale of production expanded, but the length of time employed is doubled, the organic composition is increased, the capital becomes more variable capital and a consequent falling rate of profit. A fall in the rate of profit and a hastening of accumulation are inseparable only different expressions of the same process, both of them indicate the development of the productive power. Accumulation in its turn hastens the fall of the rate of profit, inasmuch as it implies the concentration of labor on a large scale and thereby a higher composition of capital.

The organic composition of capital is increased in all economic systems, but as a rise in the organic composition of capital, more constant capital, less variable capital and a consequent falling rate of profit. A fall in the rate of profit and a hastening of accumulation are inseparable only different expressions of the same process, both of them indicate the development of the productive power. Accumulation in its turn hastens the fall of the rate of profit, inasmuch as it implies the concentration of labor on a large scale and thereby a higher composition of capital.

Since at first the fall in the rate of profit is accompanied by an increase in the mass of profit, it is difficult to understand how the collapse of capitalism would result from the decline in the rate of profit. The solution to this question is found in the theory of crisis and the falling rate of profit. An explanation of this connection has often been attempted, but all of these attempts have failed because in each case the explanation was based on, and limited to, the investigation of the falling rate of profit alone. Henry Grossmann was the first to point out that this crisis and the collapse of capital accumulation must be explained not only by the falling rate of profit, but also by the mass of profit. The underemployment of labor must be explained by the rate of profit, as well as by the mass of profit. The underemployment of labor and the surplus value is not necessarily an increase, but it will nevertheless be insufficient for the needs of accumulation because the rising organic composition constantly swallows an ever greater part of surplus value.

Capital accumulation initiated a series of great booms interrupted by periodic crises. As the rate of accumulation grew, the intensity of the crises grew with it. The capitalist mode of production repeats itself, not in the form of a circle, but as a spiral, narrowing down to a point. The production of values must, due to its inherent contradictions, lead to its own negation; but only the accumulation of these contradictions can transform them into something qualitatively different: into revolution. The same laws which have functioned as the motive force of a rapid development of capitalist forms of production, can also function as the motive force of capitalist collapse. This collapse does not develop evenly, but in stages, in waves, unlike the revolution.
The table shows that the surpluses for which at first the capital of accumulation as possible at a certain phase of accumulation lead to over-accumulation and its consequences. The constant capital that in the first year (first line) was 90% of the years production, needs in the 35th year (second line) 92.9%. The revenue (r) that until the 20th year only increased relative to the capital value and the capital has increased. In the 35th year it decreases absolutely. It is only after the 20th year that the increase in the rate of profit is first felt as an absolute fall of the part of the mass of profit which the capital in the last 5 years had at its disposal for its own consumption. Until the 20th year, accumulation was a paying proposition as measured by the returns. From the 21st year, these returns decline down to a vanishing point. This means that, from the assumption made, a constant capital of 20% in the 35th year only 14,756 is available, leaving a deficit of 11,500. This deficit would represent the social reserve army as the inevitable outcome of the capitalist process of accumulation. The capital accumulated in the 35th year can’t function completely. Because 11,500 workers cannot be employed, the whole additional constant capital (AV: 510,563) cannot be reinvested, in the base of our assumption, a population of 551,548 in the 36th year would require a constant capital of 5,124,726; consequently by a population of 550,795 only 5,497,515 constant capital could be invested. This is a surplus of capital of 117,185 that cannot be used. Insufficient capital utilization has led to over-accumulation. We have a surplus of capital unable to earn a surplus over-production of capital is the chief cause of capital accumulation, and the lack of a sufficient utilization of capital the cause of crisis.

The theoretical formulation of the theory of over-accumulation as here presented was first undertaken by Henry Grossmann who sees his work as only a reconstruction of Marx's theory of accumulation which is the theory of crises and collapse. According to his theory, if capital accumulation is to take place, the organic composition of capital must increase and that relatively every larger part of the surplus value must be taken for the purpose of the additional constant capital (AC). As long as the absolute mass of the total social capital is small, the surplus value is relatively large, and leads to a rapid increase in accumulation. For example: by a composition of 200 G: 100 V: 100 S (surplus value), the constant capital can (assuming the total surplus value to be used for the accumulation) be increased by 5% of its original size. At a higher stage of capital accumulation, with a considerably higher organic composition, e.g. 14,900 G: 100 V: 150 S the increased mass of surplus value is only sufficient, when used as an additional capital (AC) for an increase of 5%.

By continued accumulation on the basis of an ever higher organic composition, the point must be reached when all accumulation ceases. Not even a small proportion of invested capital can be used for expansion of production. A definite minimum proportion is needed which grows continuously with the progressive accumulation of capital. Therefore, since in the development of capital accumulation, a not only absolutely but also
relatively greater part of the mass of surplus value must be used for the purpose of accumulation. At a high stage of accumulation, where the total social capital is of huge size, the part of surplus value demanded for additional constant capital (AC) must become so great that it finally absorbs the whole of surplus value. A point must be reached where surplus value is to be used for additional productive services. The productivity of labor and, for capitalist consumption (AV and R) must decrease absolutely. This would be the turning point at which every occupation is previously absolutely continuous. It is now evident that if the mass of surplus value is insufficient to take care of its three functions, the additional constant capital (AC) is taken from the surplus value in mass. The average rate of profit is then insufficient to take care of the consumption of workers and employers at the prevailing wage. A sharpened struggle between the workers and employers over the division of the surplus value results in the struggle for existence. If, on the other hand, the capitalists by pressure on the workers, are forced to maintain the wage scale and the part earmarked for accumulation (AC) decreases, the tempo of accumulation is slowed down, and the productive apparatus cannot be renewed and expanded to keep pace with technical progress. All further accumulation must, under such conditions, increase the difficulties, since a given population the mass of surplus value can only be raised by a trifling amount. Surplus value flowing from the previous invested capital must therefore lie fallow, and there arises a surplus of idle capital equally lacking for any utilities of investment.

Thus, accumulation is a process that inevitably leads to overproduction of capital, to ever increasing unemployment, to a surplus of capital unable to function profitably, and to an unseizable surplus population. And this is the great contradiction of capitalist production that causes it to go to the bottom of the means of production. The productivity of labor increases more rapidly than the productive population, expresses itself, therefore, capitalistically in the inverse form that the laboring population always increase more rapidly than the conditions that give the capital which can employ this increase for its own self-expansion.

In the basis of this analysis of accumulation, the question no longer is whether the capitalist system will collapse, but rather why it has not already collapsed. We have hitherto followed the process of accumulation in a fictitious capitalism. Reality is different. An actual system of capitalism as demonstrated by us functions in a manner that Schwartz, a practical capitalist, that actually does not think of such a process, then we only obscure the inner law of the process of capitalist accumulation. Outside of the already mentioned simplifications, we dealt only with the process of production, disregarding the process of circulation. For the sake of the investigation we have thrown aside the primary decision of surplus value. However, all the dynamics of society as a whole interested us, so that we did not consider the individual spheres of production, and disregarded communication and its modifying effect on the tempo of accumulation. In our analysis of accumulation there was no foreign relation to the point of view of capitalist production is of great importance.
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We disregarded the middle class groups, and spoke only of capital and labor. There was in our analysis, no credit problem. We disregarded it as well as other important items that were not or less significant in the analysis of accumulation. In short, our analysis was based on a non-existent capitalism. As all that we were able to do in the accumulation of capital in such a "pure" capitalist system, the result would be the collapse of the system.

Since, in reality, there is no such "pure" capitalist system, it follows that the tendency to collapse does not operate in the above described "pure" form. Instead, the "pure" tendency of capital accumulation is slowed down by many factors. Another factor which also arises out of the capitalist development of the labor market and the accumulation of capital. The tendency towards collapse which is expressed through crises is nevertheless slowed down and temporarily halted by these very crises. Though they are embryonic forms of the final collapse, but the counter-tendencies are essentially of a temporary character. They can postpone the collapse of the system. If the crisis is only an embryonic collapse, the final collapse of the capitalist system is nothing but a crisis fully developed and uninhibited by any counter-tendencies.

If the causes of crises are over-accumulation which makes the "utilization" of capital impossible, new means must be established to assure again the necessary capital "utilization" in order to end the crisis. According to Marx, a crisis is only a process of healing, a violent return to further profitable expansion, from the point of view of the capitalists, a "cleaning out". But after the "cleaning out" of the unrecoverable capital, the capitalists are able to start again. The workers, the process of accumulation is continued and after awhile the "utilization" of capital again becomes insufficient. The self-expansion stops as the accumulated capital again becomes too large on its own momentum. The new crisis sets in. In this way the tendency towards collapse is broken up into a series of independent cycles.

III

How Crisis Are Overcome.

The changing periods in the economic cycle may be longer or shorter, but the fact remains that in a period of recovery, the boom periods are always growing shorter, while the duration and intensity of the periods of crisis is increasing. This reveals the fact that the tendencies which serve to delay the collapse of capitalism, while being an integral part of capital accumulation, are nevertheless greatly weakened with every passing cycle, and the overcrisis becomes an ever greater difficulty. The United States has passed through a series of industrial crises followed and preceded by boom periods. The crises of 1937 was preceded by a feverish activity of construction. A nationwide network of roads and the canals were constructed and steamship traffic developed. Cigarette amounts of capital were imported, and a general optimism anticipates development of speculative activity. By the first sign of insufficient profit-production, "businessman" speculates on this then took the most bandit-like forms. A crisis quickly followed. To pursue economic means, the crisis appeared to be caused by the impossibility of paying interest on borrowed capital, as the rate of
profit that could be yielded was too small." The panic of 1857 was preceded by a period of intoxication due to the discovery of California gold, and the large railroad construction aiding industrial development in general. Again prosperity was transformed into intensified speculation which was always followed by breakdowns among the railroads. The cause was explained by the problem of "interest." According to bourgeois economists, the railroads were constructed too "quickly," industry developed too "hastily," and it became impossible to pay interest on the money invested in Capital had grown faster than the possibility of "utilizing" this growth. This was followed by the crisis of 1873, 1893, 1907, 1921, to name only the most important.

In whatever manner these crises were explained, each individual explanation suggested that profits were insufficient, that further expansion of industry was unprofitable and for that reason could not take place, so that each explanation, unconsciously it is true, gives over-production as the cause of crises. But no one spoke about this as the inevitable outcome of the capitalist process of accumulation: this fact was always disguised as "overproduction of commodities," a "too heavy burden of debt and inability to pay interest on Capital, therefore, was accepted as the cause of crises.

According to Marx, in times of crisis, the rate of profit and with it the demand for industrial capital almost disappears. There is no lack of purchasing power with which to expand production, for no use is made of this purchasing power because it does not pay to expand production any more than expanded production does not bring in more but less surplus value than on the previous scale. Though expansion of industry is unprofitable, production has been continued, but at a previous volume. For this continuation of production at its previous scale, each year, there is produced surplus value part of which is intended for accumulation, but without any chance for such application. Thus the stock of unsold means of production, of unneeded goods in general grows; cost of storing increases, plant equipment is unnecessarily tied up since there is no reflux through sales of commodities produced. The capitalist must at any cost sell, to obtain the means of continuing production at its previous scale. This leads to price cutting and limited operations of factories, enterprises go bankrupt; unemployment grows.

The capitalist solution to this problem lies in the reestablishment of the "utilization" of capital. To do this, either the value of the constant capital must be decreased, or the surplus value increased. Both possibilities are found in the sphere of production as well as in the sphere of circulation. We shall deal here only with a few of the tendencies that overcome crises and delay the collapse of the system.

We said the capitalist always sees the fall of prices as the cause of crises. A rise in prices, consequently means to him the beginning of recovery. Bourgeois economists claim that as prices fall, bankruptcy becomes proportionally, and they offer statistical demonstrations of this fact. According to them, price stability is a guarantee of social stability. But what they really see is only the increased productivity of labor expressed in prices. The lowering of prices only illustrates the process of capital concentration. In spite of this, bourgeois economists have always, in their super-

The increased productivity of labor, and the thereby relatively diminished cost of constant capital makes the "utilization" of capital again possible. This tendency is apparent during the present crisis. Reports like the following are not infrequent:

"General Electric's new power plant of $4,000,000 will be ready for operation next spring. According to the estimates of the engineers, the plant will produce steam and kilowatt hours of energy at a lower cost than has ever before been attained."

At the same time that the "Merchant Fleet Corporation" allowed 124 ships of approximately one million tons to be destroyed, the construction of 20 million tons of new ships are planned even though "overproduction" leaves a large number of these ships idle in port. In the crisis, in spite of "overproduction," the supply of production instead of becoming restricted has been enlarged. Nevertheless, previous crises have passed. The crisis, then, is not a restriction of the real apparatus of production, but a breakdown of an accepted system of prices and values, and its reorganization on a new level.

According to Marx, the tendency in the fall of the rate of profit is accompanied by an increase in the rate of surplus value, or in the rate of exploitation of labor. By the development of the productive
forces, commodities are made cheaper. Insofar as this happens to commodities consumed by the workers, the elements of variable capital are made cheaper. The value of labor power sinks and rate of exploitation increases. The same effect is gained by intensifying labor by technical rationalization and by more skillless modes of speed-up, or by lengthening the working day. One of the most important means, is by lowering the wages below the value of labor power by taking ad-

vant of the growing army of unemployed during a crisis. The purchasing power of labor the crisis can overcome has always still is answered by capitalism reducing that purchasing power and still further. It is exactly in this manner, by wage cutting, that capitalism tries to overcome the crisis. Thus, the "Commercial and Financial Chronicle" writes:

"The manufacturer is no longer able to produce goods at a profit and accordingly he is at all and as a consequence, hordes of wage earners find themselves in and out of employment. If the President could be induced to prevail upon the wage earners to adjust wages to a lower basis, one more nearly in accord with the times, trade depression would soon become a thing of the past."

Statistics, for example those of the U.S. Steel Corporation, show that crisis and increasing exploitation run parallel.

| Aug. 1, 1918 | 10% increase in wages |
| Oct. 1, 1918 | 10% increase in wages |
| Nov. 1, 1921 | 20% decrease |
| June 1, 1922 | Basic 8 hour day abolished |
| Aug. 21, 1922 | Decrease to 7 1/2 hour day |
| Sept. 1, 1922 | 10% increase |
| Apr. 1, 1923 | 11% |
| Oct. 1, 1923 | 10% decrease |

The crisis of 1921 destroyed the previously adopted 8 hour day and led to sharp wage cutting. In 1931 this was repeated. The intensification of exploitation is one of the strongest tendencies working against capitalist collapse.

The shortening of the time of capital turn-over is also a force acting against collapse. The main means for accomplishing this, outside of increased productivity, are better and more direct means of communications, especially transport, and diminution of stock in storage, etc. Furthermore, an increase in use-values at the same exchange value, and the shortening of production time, results in lower organic composition weakening the tendency towards collapse.

As the capitalist class cannot dispose of the appropriated surplus value alone, but must divide it with the middle class groups, the crisis is always the beginning of an intensified fight between these groups. A fight of "actual" producers against ground rent, commercial profits, and all other "parasitical" elements in short, a fight of industrial capitalists against all other capitalists and the middle-class groups who exploit labor indirectly through the industrialists.

An important element in re-establishing profitable operations is the ability to exploit capital. This ability is expressed by the amount of profit and by the profit rate. The total profit (N.P.I.) remains the same, but as it is not distributed on a smaller capital basis, the rate of profit increases. In practice, depression takes the place of sales at running prices. Crisis and capitalist wars are gigantic devastations of constant capital by violent destruction of value as well as use value creating its material basis.
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produces no surplus value. The shortening of the time of capital turn-over has its limit beyond which it breaks the continuity of production and circulation. Even if commercial profits were eliminated altogether, the sinking of the rate of profit would still continue. Foreign trade as a counter-tendency eliminates itself by turning capital-importing countries into capital-exporting countries by development of the productive forces in the former. But as the form of the counter-tendency is stopped, the tendency of capitalist collapse is left in control. Then we have the permanent crisis, or the death crisis of capitalism. The only means left for the continued existence of capitalism is the permanent absolute and general pauperization of the proletariat.

In previous crises it has been possible to regain sufficient capital utilization without permanent cutting of real wages. Marx said: 'in the measure as capital accumulates, the situation of the workers, whatever its pay, high or low, must become worse'. All statistics available show that accumulation and pauperization of the workers are two sides of the same process. But in the period of the rise of capitalism only a relative, but not necessarily absolute, pauperization of the workers took place. This fact formed the basis for reforms. Only when the proletariat must necessarily be absolutely pauperized are objective conditions ripe for a real revolutionary movement.

If, instead of misleading ourselves by the actual increase of nominal wages in the United States during the last three decades, we examine the trend of wages in relation to production, we shall have a true picture of the relative pauperization of the American proletariat. If we divide the index of real wages by the index of production, we have the index of the purchasing power of the workers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Index of purchasing power</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Index of purchasing power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1899</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1909</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The purchasing power of factory workers in the United States has not increased in proportion to the total product of the factories it has lagged. The trend of production is relatively worse. This is true in spite of real wages having increased from 70 in 1900 to 123.5 in 1928. But in the same period the volume of production increased from 100 in 1899 to 200 in 1928. The workers lived better, but were no better exploited in 1928 than in 1900. To Marx this relative pauperization was only a phase of absolute pauperization. If wages at first only decline relatively to general wealth, they later decline absolutely as the quantity of commodities falling to the worker's share becomes absolutely smaller. This relative worsening of the workers position in the face of absolute improvement, only continues as long as conditions permit sufficient increase in the mass of surplus value to make up for the insufficient "utilization" of capital. However, the phase of capitalist pauperization is significant for the maintenance of both previous wage levels and satisfactory "utilization". Therefore, the crisis can now only be overcome by a satisfactory rate of accumulation and the reestablishment of profits at the cost of the workers. What differentiates the real from all previous crises is that with renewed profitable operation the wage level cannot be reestablished, - that the latter will sink permanently in time of depression as during the crisis. While capital "overcomes" the crisis, the workers remain under its sway, and if they refuse to let themselves be destroyed, they have no other resource but the ability of the capitalist system.

The level of world industrial production is today below the scale of 1927. The depression is not high enough to reestablish the crisis may vary from country to country, but the international character of the crisis is everywhere perceptible. The shrinking of the domestic market sharpens competition in the world market which likewise shrinks due to protective tariffs. The shrinking of world trade intensifies the crisis by making their economic and financial status more precarious. These events are paralleled by a heavy loss of profits. The condition of bank capital is catastrophic. The number of unemployed in the United States alone in 1931 was about 16 million. This indicates that the present crisis is in the United States as everywhere differs from all previous crises by its extent and intensity. It is the greatest crisis in capitalist history; whether it will be the last for capitalism, as well as for the workers, depends on the action of the latter. The "Roosevelt prosperity" in the United States to which the bourgeois press referred as the "end" of the depression was of a very temporary character and did not affect the world crisis at all. Anything the U.S. did gain for itself was a lost gain. The inflationary policy allowed the United States to compete better on the world market, but only on a scale of the other countries were not ready to be cut down, by inflating their own money or finding other means of fostering the American competition. Inflationary policy, or general wage cutting and the elimination of the middle class, as well as the elimination of profit-eating bank capitalists, to a certain extent may spur production because this again becomes profitable for a short while. But this profit can only be retained by a pauperization process, not only of relative but of absolute character. It is a "boom" in the death-crisis, a gain that does not develop into a revolution, but decays. It shows that we are not at the "end" but only at the beginning of the crisis.

The actual beginning of the present depression in the United States is always connected with the stock market crash, though the latter was the result rather than the cause. The crash began as far back as 1927 the "utilization" of capital in the U.S. had become more and more difficult. The falling rate of profit indicated the over-accumulation. But in spite of that, expansion of industry took place until 1929, but not to such an extent as would have been necessary according to the rate of accumulation in previous years, and on the basis of accumulated capital already exist industrial profits, which could not more fully be reinvested in industry, flowed to the banks. The surplus lay hidden in the banks deposits in member banks of the Federal Reserve System by the end of 1927, 17 billion of dollars more than in 1926. While an increase of currency during the crash, amounted to 8%, simultaneously, available cash fell from 25% in 1926 to 15% in 1927. Speculative inflation of stock quotations were the results bringing on the Wall Street fever of speculation ending in the stock
market crash. But the speculative fever was only the index of the lack of possibilities for productive investments. As the surplus of capital lowered the rate of interest to 1 percent, the industrial crisis was followed by a bank crisis; and in spite of the low rate of interest, from which the bourgeoisie expected to turn towards productive lines, no capital was yet invested in industry. The Courant Tribune writes: "What idle money has piled up in banks had difficulty in finding safe outlets, interest rates dropped but loans and investments did not increase". This situation is not peculiar for the United States, but general throughout the whole world. J.P. Morgan testified at a Senate inquiry: "The depression, for the first time as far as I know in the history of the world, is so widespread no country can lend money in any other. At the present time there is no demand for capital for industry."

This situation can, nevertheless, only be overcome by further accumulation, i.e., expansion of the productive apparatus or renewal of the fixed capital on a larger scale. The mass necessary for accumulation is dependent on the previous volume of fixed capital regardless of whether this has been utilised at half of its capacity, because accumulation is determined by the rate of speed at which it has previously been gained; and this accumulation must take place on a lower price level than the expansion of production is coupled with a fall in prices. Therefore, if accumulation is to continue, then the expansion of production must lower the cost of production so that the expected mass of profit will compensate for the fall in the rate of profit. For this reason, Barrons Weekly says in its weekly survey: "the extent to which the pressure of accumulating capital may be effective in promoting economic recovery depends on whether the necessary adjustments have been made in the other parts of the general economy, particularly in the cost of production, the supply and demand relationship for individual commodities, and in the governmental services, in their cost to the taxpayer and their real value to the country; and in short, on whether capital can earn a profit and keep it."

A static system of capitalism is an impossibility; capital must either go forward, i.e., accumulate, or collapse. Accumulation presupposes reestablishment of profitable operations which are dependent on an international division of labor. Therefore, previous measures taken to overcome the depth of the present crisis have failed miserably.

As we have said before, the resumption of profitable operation depends on the lowering of the organic composition of capital, or the increase, by other means, of the surplus value. The devaluation of capital lowers the organic composition. In practice, this means the ruin of many individual capitalists; from the point of view of total capital, from the point of view of the system, it means rejuvenation. The devaluation of capital is a continuous process, an expression of increased productivity of labor, but in the crisis it progresses violently. The increased rate of bankruptcies shows that the devaluation of capital is also taking place today. But bankruptcies, while expressing the speedy and violent devaluation taking place, are not symptoms of an intensification of the crisis: up till now they have been decreased. In all previous crises, the number and the speed by which the number of bankruptcies were increased with a speeding overcoming of the crisis. That this effect is merely a pure commodity that accumulation has reached a point where devaluation ceases to be an effective element in overcoming the crisis.

There are not enough bankruptcies, or the devaluation accomplished insufficient to lower the organic composition of capital enough, to make continued profitable accumulation again possible. This fact is closely connected with the structural change in capitalism from competition to monopoly capital.

"Classical" capitalism answered a crisis with a general fall in prices that lead to widespread bankruptcies and forced the survivors to adopt them to the new price level by installing new machinery. The demand of fixed capital fell in some industries caused other industries to be drawn into the boom. But in monopoly, or as Lenin called it, "stagnant" capitalism, there is no demand for new capital and the stock of fixed capital is increased without the increase in the rate of profit. Here we have prolonged condition of huge masses of productive machinery lying idle without being destroyed as the characteristic feature of crises under monopoly capitalism. The reserve funds of fixed capital created by monopoly capitalism are, in both periods, put at the service of production and make the construction of additional enterprises unnecessary, and thereby increase the difficulties of a transition to expanding production. When the crisis comes, production is restricted, and when later the demand increased it is supplied by opening the closed enterprises. In this manner technical progress is hindered by monopoly capitalism, and the market for means of production narrowed. How small the importance of violent devaluation of capital is can be seen when one compares the monopolies with the total of socially productive forces. (We have in the United States 37 tire producers; five of them account for 70% of the total production, the other 32 divide the remaining 30% among themselves. In the automobile industry, 75% of the total production is accounted for by two enterprises: General Motors and Ford. Two steel trusts (U.S. Steel and Bethlehem) control 55% of the total steel production. In the meat packing industry 70% of the total production is controlled by three firms: Swift, Armour, and Wilson. And these and other industries are far from being exceptions. The collapse of small enterprises have here? The fusion of capital and the resultant strengthening of monopolies strengthens this tendency towards stagnation and decay, which really means that permanent depression is the trend of monopoly capitalism. The constant writing down of capital values is only a raid on the small share holders, but not a move towards recovery. It is also clear that a technical revolution, scraping huge masses of capital by antiquating these means of production, does not succeed in increasing the "necessity" of capitalism. To expect an end of depression through devaluation is to pin hope on a still higher form of capitalism than monopoly-capitalism, and that is impossible within the framework of private property in the means of production. (State capitalism is not a higher economic form of monopoly capitalism, but only a different political mask trying to straighten out the maladjustments of class forces, which due to the narrowing down of the ruling class and the retainers under monopoly capitalism needs more direct state interference to maintain class rule.)

To increase the mass of surplus value, the cost of production must be lowered. This is attempted through the process of general rationalization; but increased rationalization leads to irrationalization. If the profits of individual enterprises are increased by its application, but the net income from the total social value is diminished, the richer richer society poorer. How can this state of rationalization ever have been seen by the researchers of the
technocrats. Rationalization is only effective when the saving in wages made possible is greater than the increased cost of fixed capital made necessary. Rationalization causes the shut-down of many enterprises, and therefore the saving in wages must exceed not only the increased cost of fixed capital in the rationalized enterprises, but in addition, the loss caused by depreciation of fixed capital in idle enterprises. If the costs of fixed capital are increased, all enterprises become more sensitive to downward fluctuations in cost of production. Rationalization, therefore, leads to an increase instead of a decrease in cost of production, and thus increases the difficulties of overcoming the crisis. By developing the productive apparatus, rationalization at a high stage of accumulation often means the passing of rationalization. The American productive apparatus was rationalized in the years of prosperity following 1921, and this was one of the causes of the length of that phase. In spite of continued rationalization, the crisis arrived in a situation which hardly allowed the utilization of 50% of the rationalized enterprises, and thereby annulled the increase in surplus value gained by rationalization. This case of "irrationalization" shows definitely the impossibility of recovery through further rationalization.

Increase in surplus value through shortening the time of capital turnover, likewise finds its objective limits in the development of accumulation. The period of turnover of total capital has been prolonged by the decreased utilization of fixed capital. The same rate of profit for one period of turnover becomes thus a much smaller yearly rate of profit. The fall of profits, though limited by monopoly capitalisms, today outweighs the still remaining possibilities of reducing the period of turnover. Decreasing the stock to raise the rate of profit is limited by the demand for continuity in production and circulation. Outside of this, the action of the crisis causes an increase in the stock of uncld commodities that further increases the rate of profit by the cost of carrying and by the further causing a fall in prices through forced sales. The net effect is that stock on hand increases, the period of turnover is prolonged, and the rate of profit falls. The increased stock is especially evident in raw materials. The world's supply of raw materials was at the end of 1929 - 192, and 1933 - 265. To reduce them to normal would mean the cessation of world production for months.

The cost of circulation increases due to sharpened competition during the crisis. While the number of workers engaged in production permanently decreases, the number of these in distribution increases. (Advertising expenses alone have lately been over a billion dollars a year in the United States.) This naturally further decreases profits.

In the crisis of 1920 and 1921, 30% of all enterprises in the United States were idle and approximately 30 billion dollar investment. If depreciation and maintenance is estimated at 10%, this means a clear loss of three billion dollars or the value of the labor of one and a half million workers. This takes place today on an even larger scale causing a further fall in the rate of profit. As 16 million workers are unemployed in the United States, it becomes necessary for those employed besides compensating for the causes already mentioned, also to produce as much additional surplus value as these workers would have produced if employed, or the mass of profit would decrease and sufficient accumulation becomes still more difficult. The decrease in the mass of profit sharpens the struggle for its division. The banks have advanced capital to industrial enterprises during the period of prosperity; credit that was based on prices as they then were. Falling prices "freeze" these credits and companies become first, industrial bankruptcies, and second, bank failures, hastening the process of concentration of capital generally. At the same time, there has been an enormous change in the division of profits between industry and money capital in favor of the latter. The acuteness of the crisis and the price fall means the capitalization unbearable for industrial capital. Only a general reduction of debts makes general bankruptcies unnecessary. This is done through inflation which unloads the debt on the workers, the professional middle class, and money capital.

The depth of the crisis is also shown in the vicious attacks on capital on the standard of living of the middle class groups. In spite of increasing alienation of the middle class, the overproduction of these groups catering directly to capitalist consumption, the crisis continues to deepen, nullifying those methods of retaining a greater part of surplus value in the hands of the capitalist class. But after all these groups could only be eliminated once, and even before this was done, another barrier would have been set up against further expropriation of them by the fact that the continued rule of the capitalist class depends on their existence. And in contradiction to these strenuous efforts to limit expenditures for unproductive activity, these expenditures are increasing. The growth in taxation was more rapid than the growth of the national income in the United States. Increasing pauerization causes increasing relief expenditures, and increasing time for the purpose of violent repressions of revolt, and for imperialist designs.

In the present crisis, a fall in the ground rent has some extent on account of the decrease in production but at the cost of raising the threat of agrarian revolt. As a matter of self protection, it has been necessary for the capitalist class to counteract these tendencies favorably to themselves by allotment plans, agrarian protectionist policies, etc. A sufficient increase of profit by a decrease in ground rent cannot longer be expected.

In this crisis, all forces working towards overcoming it have thus either neutralized each other, or have been insufficient! This even applies to the strongest imperialistic means of capital export. During the last years there has practically been no capital exported from the United States. In other imperialistic countries, the situation is similar. This has sharpened the competitive struggle for the world market tremendously between all industrial nations. The profit flow back to the United States from previous capital export in form of interest on foreign investments can neither be invested here or abroad. Simultaneously, the United States makes it impossible for the debtor nations to pay interest by forcing them out of their markets for means of production. This also makes it impossible for them to buy raw materials and food stuffs, as they are unable to sell means of production to pay for them. The end of this development must be either an insidious, irrational crisis, or a new world scale butchery.

The law of accumulation is the law of collapse of capitalism. A collapse delayed by counteracting tendencies until these tendencies cannot
spent themselves or become inadequate in face of the growth of capital accumulation. But capital accumulation does not occur automatically; it is a matter of human action, though conditioned, is powerful. The death o...s, or in the place of it. The "Communist Manifesto" sounds the alternative: Communism or Barbarism! A static capitalism is impossible; if the accumulation cannot continue, the crisis becomes permanent and the condition of the workers will continually worsen. Such a crisis is barbarism.

Today, half the workers in the great industrial countries are unemployed and the enormous increase of exploitation does not compensate for the smaller number of workers employed; and still there is no other way for capitalism but continuous attacks on the workers. The general, absolute and permanent pauperization of the workers has become an absolute necessity to the existence of capitalist society. Thus, according to Marx, the final and most important consequence of capitalist accumulation is the absolute pauperization of the workers. The struggle over wages, hours and working conditions or relief becomes, even as it fights for those things, a struggle for the survival of the capitalist system of production - a struggle for proletarian revolution.

THE STRUGGLE AGAINST THE REDUCTION OF UNEMPLOYED RELIEF IN AMSTERDAM.

(Frunsdorfer Kurier, 1934, page 7.)

In the first days of July, 1934, the Dutch Government cut the unemployed (cash) relief to an extent which aroused the unemployed to spontaneous demonstrations at the relief stations and on the streets. These demonstrations were at once combatted by the police and the guardsmen in the most brutal manner. In the "Jordaan District" and the "Kampen Workers District" of Amsterdam the unemployed did not allow the police to break their demonstrations up without a fight. They answered the bullets and sabers of the police with the stones they got from the pavement. The struggle lasted many hours. In the evening

after the workers left for group meetings which had been hastily arranged, these groups in turn set fort the streets in the General Strike. The street lights were destroyed in order to make it easier to bring about the social situation. The workers, who were mostly in a few streets became the revolutionaries in the whole "Jordaan District" the next day. At all corners hastily but well erected barricades were set up; the police was kept out by the workers. A few streets were taken up to exclude all fast transport. During the day the workers had a free ride on every polizei car out of the district which, by reason of the street fighting, was entirely in the hands of the workers. The unemployed were victorious for the day; but, by twelve o'clock at night they all returned to their homes and the police moved in again without a fight.

On the following day the guardsmen took possession of the district. They came with tanks, armored automobiles and machine guns. They arrived in such a strength that the unemployed alone could never be able to fight them successfully. They were not cowardly in not opposing the guardsmen because the events of the day before had brought proof that they were good fighters. However, in the face of this strong enemy, a fight would have been suicidal.

The struggle had grown out of a relief question. The immediate goal of the strikers was to force the government to recall the relief cut. The working class wants to fight the government at least until it supports it. The struggle was not forthcoming. The majority of the strikers will only participate in a movement which embraces their immediate interests. The fight was merely a fight for unemployed relief; it was not an effort to solve the whole problem. Without their support, there was no sense to go farther in the struggle, and it ended in defeat.

The relief cut was an absolute necessity for the Dutch bourgeoisie. The wages of the employed workers had become so low that a series of wage cuts that there was nearly no difference any more between the relief and the wages; but before further wage cuts would be made, the relief had now to be cut first. The continuation of the profitability of the capitalist system made this absolutely necessary. This is why the government answered a simple demonstration of the unemployed in a way in which formerly only revolutionary uprisings would have been answered. It was a matter of law. This offensive against the whole working class. Therefore only one answer after the brutal attack of the police and the guardsmen: "The General Strike"; but the trade-union tradition made it hardly possible. It is important to note that in fact the trade union, the workers walked out in sympathy with the unemployed.
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it is not bad at all from the viewpoint of the actual class struggle of the working class. The fighting strength of the workers actually increases through such measures of the ruling class. To forbid the class struggle itself is impossible, but if the bourgeoisie makes it more difficult to be sure, but also more effective. What they now do is to actually fight and not engage in some opportunistic alIetracking of the real issue in the fields of parliamentary fake-success, etc.

The greatest value for the revolutionary movement is the fact that actions of this kind, and the actions of the ruling class which followed them, showed the weaknesses of the present day labor movement in all its ugliness. One stroke of the ruling class sufficed to do away with the Communist Party and all its affiliated organizations. The leadership of the C.P. had not anticipated this, and actually, the bourgeoisie had really no reason to be so hard on this organization which, in spite of all their self-assurance, is only trying to live and prosper inside of the capitalist system. Even the last number of the Communist Party of Holland's paper, the "Tribune", before it was suppressed, tried to support the system of private property. We read the same regarding the action of the unemployed ("Tribune", July 8), "Fight Against Lootings and Provocations."

"When the workers in the Jordan District were fighting a mass battle, some criminal elements were trying to loot the stores. The workers have nothing in common with these elements. They have to fight them. The workers want the sympathy and the support of many small businesses. So in Jordan, they, like the workers themselves, are hit hard by the depression and also by the relief cut out."

(By the way: The lootings hit the firm "Jamin", a big capitalist enterprise in foodstuffs, a chain store.)

The C.P. also advised the workers in order to combat the military over-awe of the ruling class to engage in class cinema, to organize "school strikes," "don't pay rent movements", etc., but not a single word of the only thing which was logically necessary, "The General Strike."

The most important lesson to be drawn from the struggle of the unemployed in Amsterdam is that the fact that successful group struggles are no longer possible. The difficulties in which the bourgeoisie finds itself, not only in regard to their diminished profits in this country but in their extended necessity to compete on the world market and thus prepare for the imperialistic actions, forces them to make of the workers not only paupers, but also willing tools in the hands of the ruling class. They are unable to stand over the weakest opposition, so the part of the workers, and each demonstration against the policy of the bourgeoisie is translated by the ruling class as a direct menace against its existence.

What took place when the sailors of "De Zeven Provinciën" revolted was repeated with this demonstration of the unemployed. The sailors demonstrated against their miserable condition, but they were treated as if they had started a revolution. So again the "Handelsblad" of July wrote: "Whenever building barricades in the City will be answered as it is the custom to answer when barricades are built."

The brutality with which the bourgeoisie answered even the slightest protest of the workers comes actually as no surprise to these workers. They did not even understand this at first. The sailors of "De Zeven Provinciën" locked up to the army planes, and laughing, they never thought that these army planes would drop bombs to destroy the rebels. The workers of Amsterdam who did not dream that a mere demonstration would turn the city into a battle field, with military tactics, barricades and killings; but they learn and they learn fast as their action proved. They will soon know that not even the weakest activity will be granted to them; that the ruling class is deadly serious in their determination to kill all actions in favor of the working class.

The period of "Democracy", of "Parliamentary Humbug", of "Reforms" and of "Legal Action" is definitely over. Now machine guns make history, and realizing this new situation, the workers will also find out that it is absolutely necessary to adopt a class policy, and that success is only possible if the present system is destroyed through a proletarian revolution.

THE CLASS STRUGGLE IN SPAIN.

In the middle of September, "La Nacion", a leading bourgeois newspaper in Spain, wrote: "that the danger growing out of this situation of strike activities can at this time only be opposed by fascist politics." "It would be surprising" that any more was said, they said. "The bourgeoisie press spoke of a planned revolution, and supported the development of the fascist organizations. All this did not decrease the strike activity, and the consequences in which these events are embedded through. The economic struggle became at once a struggle against the government, as the government has to assure its capitalist profits. The ruling class is determined to bring, by all means, the activity of the working class to a standstill, and is preparing for an open dictatorship supported by the growing fascist forces.

In the beginning of October, the strike situation changed to civil warfare. October 5th. about 100 deaths were reported, and the days following, this number increased more and more. The government engaged all military means to suppress what they called an uprising of the workers, after they had started this struggle. The workers feared for their strike activity. The streets of Madrid and of many of the smaller cities became battlefields. The hatred of the workers was remarkable in the face of their enemies. The troops have been given orders to fire on any suspicious crowd or manifestation. "All extremists carrying weapons shall be shot!"
ordered the premier. Once more it became clear that a real General Strike of the workers in such a situation is identical with civil war.

Using this noise, the separatists elements, partly supported by the C.P. slogans of national self-determination, and by the splendid demonstration of the workers, declare Catalonia temporarily an independent republic, and led the class struggle in this part of Spain on the sidetrack of nationalism.

The issues of the workers were not clear. They were, by their different organisational interests, in a terrible ideological mesh. Anarchists, syndicalists, communists and socialists were forced into one front against the fascists and the fascists against the government. Their fight in spite of this common enemy for their special group interests. This weakened the strength of the workers and also did away with all hope that out of the struggle would come anything more than a terrible defeat. Only by way of struggle, and especially this kind of struggle, will the workers recognise their true interests which forces them not only to the overthrow of this feudal-capitalist combination of exploitation in Spain, but also to the recognition that the enemy must be faced by a working class united on one issue, the issue of communism. The present class struggle can be regarded as evidence that class consciousness nowadays takes on at once concrete form. The workers learn to understand their problems, not merely on a purely ideological basis, but they learn at once by actual practice. The reality is more revolutionary than the ideas of the workers; and even a defeat of the workers in Spain can indicate nothing more than a temporary one which also carries with it the weapons for certain eventual victories.

UTON SINCLAIR ON THE ROAD TO FASCISM

Two years ago, in relation to Sinclair and the Eisenstein movie, "Thunder Over Mexico", the critics already tried to point out that his ideology was of a fascist character. With his attitude towards the cutting up of the film, he lost his prestige as a socialist and was considered on the road to the class enemy. A good business man, however, is not necessarily also a fascist, and the noise about Sinclair's perversion soon died out.

He ran for governor several times on the Socialist ticket, and now he enters the Democratic Party with his Epic Platform (End Poverty In California) trying to gain as a bourgeois candidate what he had failed to reach as a Socialist. From our point of view, this makes no difference, but for the Socialists it is outright betrayal, and they look upon their former friend as a traitor, who is hurting the cause of socialism.

Sinclair brought the Democratic Party, as he himself boasts, about 300,000 members in a short time. He received about 400,000 votes and soon started a newspaper in which in a few weeks attained a circulation of 175,000. He also sold about 200,000 copies of his pamphlet. A very successful man, and we understand, the envy of all labor-fakers without a doubt.

The C.P. consider him the Orange Hitler of California. In one of the latest issues of "New Masses" (which had to take up the matter as Sinclair is a literary figure) he is classed as a full-fledged fascist, and should be treated as such. The "pure" donor of the "New Masses" are, however, seriously misinformed. During August in Moscow, at the Congress of Russian Revolutionary Writers, one of the many under organizations of the C.I., a resolution was adopted and sent to Sinclair which says in part: "Accept our most heartfelt and friendly greetings, because you have always done and you are still doing with heroism your duty as a worker, as a worker of the working class. We also find Theodore Dreiser, who adheres to the Third International, directly for Sinclair's campaign fund. Dreiser also got a nice telegram from Moscow. The time those telegrams were sent, it was positively known, and the Moscow Congress was aware, that Sinclair was already a member of the Democratic Party for more than a half a year and intended to run for governor.

This does not make the slightest difference to the Third International as Sinclair at least entered a party which completed the recognition of Russia by the United States, and which seems to be favorable to help in defending the Third International as Sinclair, at least entered a party which completed the recognition of Russia by the United States, and which seems to be favorable to help in defending the Third International, as Sinclair, at least entered a party which completed the recognition of Russia by the United States, and which seems to be favorable to help in defending the Third International.
The most important parts of the Epico program have been dropped, however. In order to ensure himself the support of the Democratic Party, and in order not to hurt Roosevelt, he has thrown overboard his platform even before he is elected. The state-farms factories run by the state, old age pension, etc., dished; nothing remains but empty phrases. He is unwillingly and knowingly betraying his not to be livered-up ideals. The labor movement is still asleep, or even more, being at least once a day in the papers.

He claims that he and Roosevelt agree on everything, and that the "New Deal" is advanced to the position of "Epico". This is true. Epico has lost its main points and the New Deal is bankrupt. They are both bankrupt before they start working. Where there is nothing, there can be no disagreement; as the Democratic Party adopts Sinclair as its own.

Daily Sinclair becomes more patriotic. He now expresses what he always felt. It was formerly more outstanding to play at being a socialist, now it is more fame by showing fascist tendencies. It is no likelihood that he will become a California Hitler, as he is seeking advertisement - not power. He fills the space between the real acts in this historical drama, and when the social forces begin to move, he will probably be disposed of in short order by the real fascists or pushed aside by a revolutionary proletariat. The future will not be determined by freaks of his age, but will be determined by the social struggle for power.

---

**HOME COMING**

The End of the Trotsky Movement.

A short time back the "Militant" expressed quite a lot of pity for some of the Lovestone group who were trying to find their way back to the Socialist Party. A few weeks ago, they became vehement in complaints against "the treacherous Third International" because it started a United Front from the top with the Socialist Parties, with a proposal of merging the two organizations. "The Stalinist are liquidating the Communist Movement", cried the Unser Wort, the Trotsky organ in Austria. "Down with such a merger. It will weaken the revolutionary movement!"

Then came a surprising move; the liquidation of the Trotsky group in France. Yesterday, the liquidation of independent Communist parties was open betrayal of the revolutionary cause. Today in France, these same people subject themselves to the discipline of the Trotskyists, and in the United States merge with the petty bourgeois American Workers' Party.

The events suit us fine. The common sense displayed by the "leaders" is to be congratulated. It helps clear up the real situation in the labor movement. The revolutionary workers know now by actuality that behind the "revolutionary" slogans nothing else was concealed but the old labor fakery of the Socialist Party. These false voters in our "Labor's Leaders" ideology; he cannot hide revolutionary slogans. There is the perspective, too, of the eventual destruction of the old labor movement, and with it the prestige and position of "leaders". The petty differences of organizations are forgotten; unity on the basis of "Status Quo" is established, and the professional revolutionists are saved from what they call the "Horrible Reaction of Fascism". We will be good; no more revolutionary slogans; go to the ballot box; fascism has a monopoly, and under a safe and sound leadership, can all the troubles of economic chaos.

"Our leaders" call the National Conference of the Communist League of France, "join us in the ranks of the Socialist Party for the revolutionary struggle of the proletariat. Without renouncing our past and our ideas, but also without any mental reservations of sectarianism, we speak out what is. It is necessary to enter the Socialist Party, not for the sake of exhibitions nor of experiences, but for serious work under the banner of Marxism". J.P. Cannon, in the Militant #23, writes: "Prior to the decision of the conference to take this step, the National Committee of the Communist League of America decided, by a majority of eight to one, to recommend this course of the French comrades, despite the great dangers and difficulties involved in such a drastic reorientation. We recommended this course with full confidence that they would remain true to their banner and carry it with them into the Socialist Party."

The above, in plain English, is a command to join the party of social chauvinists; to join with the murderers of Liebknecht and Luxemburg; to join with the Otto Bauer, the Thaeossean Zeller, the Vende- volta. The members of the Communist League in France who could not stomach this "reorientation" were expelled. Do the Trotskyites really believe that this "turn" will speed "social control" and "social control" to the masses? They find that the "revolutionary rise" the Socialist Party will fight for the "Fourth International" and its Comrades? Is this why they "orientate" from the "wing" of the Socialist Party to follow at the "tail" of the Socialist Party? Then they contradict the Trotskyite position of the S.P. is based upon the thesis that the Socialist Movement in Austria and Germany fell because it was too revolutionary; that the S.P. must drop its revolutionary slogans, win the middle class, gain power and in that way make fascism impossible. The S.P. is offering to perform the task of the fascists themselves, and in this enterprise finds help from the Third International and its "wings", which in reality cannot be distinguished from the "New" and "Scheidingmole".

We have said that the old labor movement reaches from "New" to Trotsky, it has departed only temporarily. The departure was only a family fight; now they are united again. The "Left" leadership of the old labor movement has gone over to the petty bourgeoisie, but have come home not to die, but to eat. In order to eat, these fakirs offer themselves to the capitalist class as a way out of the other, that is better than fascism. In doing so, they become, in the words of Trotsky: "the party of counter-revolutionary despair." They prove to the workers by these zigzags that they are cheap demagogues, servants of capitalism, enemies of the revolution who live by betrayal. They prove by deeds that this Bolshevik Leninist kernel is as unclean and worm-eaten as the pod. The party that the Socialist Party, Communist Party and Left Opposition have always been affiliated with a capitalist ideology. They prove that they are revolutionists in name, and constitutionalists in deed. They fight with their fla-
unfurled, and must be crushed with the capitalist traditions of yester-
yday. They are fakers again making history, struggling in a feeble
effort to ward off the dialectic movement of reality. Meanwhile, the
class struggle deepens - real revolutionists must carry on!

It has come to our notice that the Weissbord group, another
"wing" of the Bolsheviks, who have "adhered" to the Trotsky
movement internationally while opposing the Left Opposition
in America, have repudiated Trotsky and his whole movement
on the basis of this new orientation.
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