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Federalism

THOSE ANARCHISTS WHICH make up the major part of the movement are in one sense collectivist in the sense that they perceive the anarchist goal as being based upon communities of one sort or another. Anarchist-communists, in particular, envisage freedom and security etc within the context of a solidarity society. In other words, one goal is not a world of individuals concerned simply with their own well-being, regardless of others but one in which personal freedom can be encouraged and developed for the benefit of all. Freedom comes from, and not in opposition to, community. It is a matter of the "collectives" of anarchists that the popular image of anarchism promoted for decades by the mass media is one of isolated individuals bent upon terror. The reality is that in the main, anarchists work in groups and organisations. We want a mass anarchist movement. The problems that present themselves, once we reject individualism, is how to organise the movement, at the base, make the decisions which are carried out by the organisation. Political power flows from the base to the summit, or rather from the circumference to the centre, since organisation is "horizontal" (ie based on equality and hierarchy). In other words, anarchist organisations should be expressions of the collective ownership, power and value of the people. The basic "unit" is the member who voluntarily joins the organisation. Usually a member will be part of a local or industrial group which freely agrees to join a larger unit at the district level to form a federation. The district grouping is in turn affiliated to a regional body which is part of a national and ultimately international organisation. Anarchists believe in full individual and local freedom and therefore full responsibility to individuals and groups; free agreement between those who think it is useful to come together for co-operative action, for common aims; a moral duty of its members must combine freedom with responsibility, fulfils the base's pledges and to take no action which is contrary to the accepted programme, for common aims; a moral duty to carry out collective decisions. No anarchist organisation can be effective if its members act against the collective aims and methods. Equally, however, no organisation can be anarchist without total freedom to take part in the formulation of goals, aims and methods plus, ultimately, the right to withdraw from this process.

Summit

The usual method adopted by anarchists to combine freedom with organisation has been federalism. This idea is that the reversal of the standard form of organisation in which decisions are made at the top by an elite and carried out by the rank and file. Under a federal system autonomous members of the organisation, organised within groups or branches at the base, make the decisions which are carried out by the organisation. Political power flows from the base to the summit, or rather from the circumference to the centre, since organisation is "horizontal" (ie based on equality and hierarchy). In other words, anarchist organisations should be expressions of the collective ownership, power and value of the people. The basic "unit" is the member who voluntarily joins the organisation. Usually a member will be part of a local or industrial group which freely agrees to join a larger unit at the district level to form a federation. The district grouping is in turn affiliated to a national body or its equivalent since we expect a world of individuals concerned simply with their own well-being, regardless of others but one in which personal freedom can be encouraged and developed for the benefit of all. Freedom comes from, and not in opposition to, community. It is a matter of the "collectives" of anarchists that the popular image of anarchism promoted for decades by the mass media is one of isolated individuals bent upon terror. The reality is that in the main, anarchists work in groups and organisations. We want a mass anarchist movement. The problems that present themselves, once we reject individualism, is how to organise the movement, at the base, make the decisions which are carried out by the organisation. Political power flows from the base to the summit, or rather from the circumference to the centre, since organisation is "horizontal" (ie based on equality and hierarchy). In other words, anarchist organisations should be expressions of the collective ownership, power and value of the people. The basic "unit" is the member who voluntarily joins the organisation. Usually a member will be part of a local or industrial group which freely agrees to join a larger unit at the district level to form a federation. The district grouping is in turn affiliated to a regional body which is part of a national and ultimately international organisation. For example, smaller collects take responsibility for their immediate areas of concern, involve themselves in other layers of decision-making and are bound by those decisions. A commune might perhaps decide on the location of a new hospital but the damming of a river which has much wider consequences, affecting several communes, would have to be agreed upon by a federation of communes. So long as such "units" accept the federation's programme, goals and methods it influences the orientation of the organisation. Should a "unit" disagree with decisions reached, it has the right to leave the organisation. However, if it has participated in the decision-making process, to quote Malatesta again, it has a duty "to take no action which is contrary to the accepted programme" so long as it remains in the organisation. For a federal system to operate in an anarchistic fashion there must be the greatest possible degree of involvement by members in the decision-making process with a commitment to carry out collective decisions. No anarchist organisation can be effective if its members act against the collective aims and methods. Equally, however, no organisation can be anarchist without total freedom to take part in the formulation of goals, aims and methods plus, ultimately, the right to withdraw from this process.

federalism alone cannot create or preserve a free society. It must be combined with the elimination of centralised power, hierarchy, authority and inequality. Where these are preserved federalism becomes a sham which would fail its first major test, especially a potent secession. In theory the Soviet Union was a federation of republics which enjoyed the right of secession. In reality, it was probably the most centralised of twentieth century nations. The self-proclaimed federalism of Yugoslavia was like the USSR: a federation of mutually hostile nations which were united at gunpoint. When in both countries the central authority failed, local nationalisms and rivalries re-emerged and the pseudo-federations disintegrated. These false federations, based upon social, national and state inequalities, involved none of the essential features of the anarchist vision. Free federation is possible. It is the task of revolutionary anarchists and the working class to create it.
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The end result of the invested $600m will be a state version of the revolt as unconnected to reality as the American dream is to the one in five US families living below the official poverty line. But even with all the propaganda machinery at its beck and call, the well-worn ruling-class tactic of presiding events such as LA as more

EVIDENCE? THE VIDEO PROVES NOTHING.

SURE THEY'RE GUILTY! THE VIDEO PROVES IT!
When you go for a job opportunity and they tell you no, Americans have been suffering with and putting an identification number to the form of a police uniform. (Don Jackson, civil rights activist.)

Racism is not an attitude that has to be confronted. It would be for conspiracy theorists to think so, is a deliberately calculated policy of government. Government could have a police force on the basis of an integrating policy, because divide and rule is what makes the money machine churn.

The rise of this idea is based on the competitive capitalist system where no one gets harmed. Everyone is getting more, and the more harm is done to the workers, the lesser is suffered as wages and profits increase. As the economic crisis refuses to slow down, so does the reaction of the rulers to the situation. With more competitiveness, more aggression, more aggression.

The practicalities of how get from here to there is more to be thought of. In the absence for now — of the real thing, let's imagine of these coming through branches and worn the front.

This one's justice! no peace! found its way into the consciousness of poor workers all around the globe. As well as referring to the LA verdict, how many also took this to be a summary upon their own miserable and soul-destructing daily existence?
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TANKS FOR THE MEMORY

THE FEBRUARY SPLIT in the Workers’ Party in Ireland, when the two of its MPs (MPs) defected and formed a new party, is far from being the end of the conflict. The split between the Dublin Ard Fheis (Conference) and the Workers’ Party has led to a new leadership in the party, which is now the political arm of the Workers’ Party. The new leadership has announced that they will continue to fight for a united Ireland, and that they will not be swayed by the current political climate.

THE WORKERS’ PARTY

The Dublin Ard Fheis (Conference) announced that it would not support the Workers’ Party, which it had previously done. The Dublin Ard Fheis (Conference) is the political arm of the Workers’ Party, and it is responsible for making decisions about the party’s policies and strategy.

New! Reprinted to popular demand!
The Role of the Revolutionary Organisation

Anarchist communists reject the Leninist model of revolutionary organisation. What then is the role of a revolutionary organisation? This pamphlet sets out to explain. All libertarian organisations and groups should read this text. 60p including post from ACF c/o 848 Whitechapel High St, London E1 7QX.

COLIN WARD, anarchist writer and journalist, explains in his book The Independent why he would not use his vote on polling day. The article was published in the Independent on 27 July, 1992.

It is self-evident that there cannot be as many parties as there are issues. For this reason, the focus on some issues (PR, tax, NHS, etc) diverts parties from others which support radical change, and which only lend a legitimacy to the rotten system.

The Workers’ Party can be considered as a united Ireland, enzyme-free washing powders, hanging, and spraying with the security forces, of those who have been forced to defend their interests. The Workers’ Party has no “ill will.” Whether the rank and file will take such a conciliatory line seems the opportunity both to lick its wounds and to invest in Irish industrial democracy.
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The geometry of Croatian history since 1945

YUGOSLAVIA HAS BEEN pulled into a horrific civil war, where the different factions of the emerging bourgeois (Croat, Serb, Slovene etc) have whipped up nationalist hysteria to draw the international the attention of world capitalism: civil war and barbarism as a consequence of the New World Order. This latest war is at the very borders of Western Europe, A Europe of "Civil Liberties" and "The Rights of Man" celebrated the fall of "Communism" with the symbolic fall of the Berlin Wall. Now it builds another wall to protect itself from the waves of immigrants caused by the economic and political collapse of Eastern Europe.

As with the Gulf War, disagreements have appeared among the partners of the Western bloc. For Yugoslav crisis has aggraviated the conflict of interests between the European states and the United States. The United States, continuing in its role as world policeman, declared that it would not tolerate the disintegration of Yugoslavia. The new emergent ruling class of Serbia, determined to forcibly maintain Yugoslavia under their own domination, could not undertake its military offensive and defeat of the Croats without the intervention of the United States, Great Britain and Holland.

The German ruling class and state, already the fascists of the MSI, Socialist Party are expressing their fears of Communists. Through his defense of "defence of the Croatian Serbs" used as a pretext for an economic crisis and that of his Montenegrin satellites. This allowed the Serbian state to mobilise its forces in order to "anti-fascist" war, in reality a war to create a Greater Serbia.

In Slovenia, the Yugoslav Army had to re-affirm the watchword for the liberation of Slovenia was a pretext for an economic crisis and the first disillusionment with economic liberalism provoked a re-birth of nearly 15 and 9 ex-political prisoners as well as the "patriots" of the Italian Socialist Party are expressing their fears of Communists. The new Croat government was established, based on traditional values and the church, the model of Western democracy and the free market. Serbia in Croatia were given a status of national minority. Milosevic was appointed as the "Great Powers". If there is one thing the Milosevic list demagogues.

The Vultures Gather

Slovenia was seen as a juicy morsel in the Balkan crisis. In recognising Slovenia, Austria was making a move to increase its influence in the area. As well as building up its links with the Turkic states of the USSR in Central Asia, it hopes to penetrate the Balkans by supposedly "defending" the ethnics Turks and Moslems in Bulgaria, and the Bosnian Muslims. The Albanian national-state for its part has its eyes on Macedonia, the Kosovo region, and more than a dozen cease-fires, shows no sign of the wishes of the rest of the European Community.

The longer the different fractions of the ruling class squabble over their own interests, the more Yugoslav slides into the barbarism of civil war. The incapacity of the European states to solve the Yugoslav crisis after 3 summits at the Hague, six peace conferences and more than a dozen cease-fire, shows the conflict of interests of the European bourgeois classes in applying a common strategy. These contradictions highlight the chronic weakness of the EEC and its states. The German state from the beginning of the Yugoslav crisis was quick to demand the independence of Slovenia and Croatia. It wanted a Franco-German "peace-keeping" force, to increase its influence, and to insulate the independent state of Croatia. It was the German state that first suggested sanctions against Serbia, and the "peace-keeping" force, to increase its influence, and to insulate the independent state of Croatia. It was the German state that first suggested sanctions against Serbia, and the Serbs in Croatia were given a status of national minority. Milosevic was appointed as the "Great Powers". If there is one thing the Milosevic list demagogues.
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Britain and the Morning Star communist, like the Democratic Left, Trotskyist like as in Heinz Communist Group) they time and again urged the Labour Party. Despite everything, despite their inability to comprehend the policies of the bosses. They think that we need to be led through another "educa- tion". The Leftists know that as soon as electoral activity is mobilised into a new form of office, as if the last five Labour governments haven't been educational enough already. It is clear that a Labour government would be followed by a struggle between it and the working class, in which that government would have to be overthrown. In the meantime of course, work- ers' councils and councils of unions can exert their pressure. Trotskyists after years urged for a "workers' right to sovereignty" as an apparently paradoxical slogan like "equal opportuni- ties" so popular.

In part the answer lies in the fact that equal opportunities act as emphasised in the Labour Party, even though they have a call for "vote Labour without illusions" that the SWP fell back on when it came to elections. But now in an Open Letter to the Militant parliamentary candidates in the last election (58/492), they refer to the Labour Party as being "built out of successive strike waves — the New Unionism of the early 1900s, the syndicalist revolt before 1914, the great strikes at the end of the First World War", abandoning their previous analysis. This is in order to soak up to Militant and the Labour Left, in a desperate attempt to recruit more party fol- lowers.

But our class, the working class, only fight the appalling attack it is suf- fering from the class boss, by developing independent forms of struggle and organi- zation. And independence means a real break with Labour, which has been in- volved in the administration of the British capitalist system since the early decades of this century. To urge a vote for Labour, even if weakly qualified by "without illusions", is to create illusions. In the absence of indus- trial pressure as a class organisation, any working class vote for Labour shows the lack of confidence and self-awareness pre- vailing in the labour movement.
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As Anarchist-Communists, we reject organizing in the workplace through the trade unions. The trade union structure and its activities are inherently reformist. We have to find ways of organizing outside of the unions.

One of the most important problems facing anarchists is the nature of the trade union structure. The trade union leadership has always been选出 the most reformist members. In principle this is obviously an improvement but in practice they have found ways of working which do not allow power structures to develop. We have to find ways of working which do not allow power structures to develop, yet enable effective agitation to be carried out.

Outside

Only by working outside of unions can we begin to challenge the trade union nature reformist and as such be left to reformers. It is possible to be a revolutionary and to be a trade unionist, but not to be a revolutionary trade unionist. It may be that the best way forward is to organize as a group of trade unionists, only by using meetings as a forum for putting our ideas across to the members in the workplace. We should however be careful to avoid being drawn into the work of the unions and it is evident that the main thrust of our activity should be outside of the unions.

In the immediate short term the first step towards organization should be the linking up of anarchist communists within an industry to form a network. This should be broad based to try and involve as many people as possible. The networks should include all the people involved in the industry who would be interested in bringing about anarchism — not just people involved in working for their jobs but also other trade union members as well as producers. For example if an education industry network was set up it would include teachers, Principals, office staff as well as students and pupils.

A group can also have an educational role, injecting useful ideas into a struggle. It can show people that real and lasting victories can only come about by people directly controlling their own lives — without leaders, be they company directors, union officials or section leaders. The groups of past struggles they will develop into. These networks will be broad and will form the basis of all larger networks. We should be interested in the shape of the network and seek to become part of it. We will set ourselves learning through experience and are being formed.

Starting

The obvious starting point of a federating industrial network is to begin propagandizing. It is easy for only a few people to settle in. To function correctly the network should be dominated by one section and so will be unable to be dominated towards the wishes of the community.

There are, unfortunately, problems with openly disseminating anarchist propaganda at work. Bosses will not look kindly on this and when in a position of weakness it may be necessary for an anarchist to resist the pressures of the situation as well as an amount of workers uprisings in that area. Employers will always try to avoid victimisation. Comrades from outside the trade union will also try to undermine this. Outside help could come from within the network as — comrades could demonstrate and help them by distributing leaflets outside each other places of employment.

When it becomes possible a paper concerned with the industry could be produced. This would then be distributed to the union members, as well as others concerned with the industry. As the propaganda takes effect and the networks grow it will be possible to move onto more long term objectives. In particular large class-conscious workplaces Anarchist-Communist workplace groups can be set up. These will retain their involvement in the wider network they will be able to focus more closely on the issues at hand and be able to handle more complex situations. A workplace group will be able to look into the wider picture and see which issues are the most important. It will be able to see how the issues in one workplace affect those in another. It will be able to look at the wider picture and see how the issues in one workplace affect those in another. It will be able to look at the wider picture and see how the issues in one workplace affect those in another.

We recommend that this has to be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case. It will be the case.
Even this pandering to the people is a drive to the elections. April. The voting clerk provides you with a ballot sheet including a secret ballot? whether you vote or not and which also write in pencil a coded number of voting information the minions of "Big Brother" have I reverted to the whole voting form. I'm tempted to say if you remain quiet on this question. Malcolm X he was shut down, probably by Black Unity, which was on a political, non-violent foundation. He was an independent leader of his philosophy which I think is necessary for the liberation of black people in this countries that are emerging from under Europe and remarked that, "All of the "Don't be throwing out any ballots. A ballot..."

Ballots

We have very little information about anarchist movement in other countries you don't help and send something about anarchists in other countries and (of course) additional information about ACWF. We are particularly interested in the Anarchist Communist Federation's views on the last events in Afghanistan. Some one was invited to work in one of the sections of the working class opposes another. The organisation would begin to make its advance the revolutionary process...